

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/630,900	08/02/2000	Raymond E. VanKouwenberg	20022.99R162US	7976	
7590 10/16/2003			EXAMINER		
Ronald S. Kareken, Esq.			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
Jaeckle Fleisch	mann & Mugel, LLP			 _	
39 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, NY 14614-1310			1764	1764	

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/630,900	VANKOUWENBERG, RAYMOND E.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Virginia Manoharan	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 10 and all claims dependent therein would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>10</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		is not found in the specification as filed or at least the spec. does not provide proper antecedent basis as				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amdts. would provoke new 112 rejections: For Examples Only: The limitation "at least a partially open top" added in the specification and e.g., in claim 1 is nowhere in the specification as originally filed. (Page 5, line15, for example, reciting that the top wall of tank 12 includes an access opening is deemed not properly supporting the above claimed language. Also, the negative proviso "but not vaporize the heat transfer liquid" in claim 1 is not found in the specification. If support can be pointedout, at least the spec., fails to provide proper antecedent basis for said negative proviso as it is not positively recited in the specification.

Mir Al 10/8/03